UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,618	04/25/2006	Bruno Covelli	29004-502 NATL	1368 .
30623 7590 08/08/2007 MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			EXAMINER	
			STROUD, JONATHAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3709	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,618	COVELLI, BRUNO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan R. Stroud	3709
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 F	February 2005.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•
Disposition of Claims	•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15, 17-28</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-28</u> is/are rejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2005</u> is/ar		objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 	its have been received	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		onlication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	•
application from the International Burea	·	. 222.700 II. IIIO Hallonal Olago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
	•	
ttachment(s)		
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3709

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 16, "hear" is believed to be in error for -- heart --.On line 26, "the receiving heart Under" is believed to be in error for -- the receiving heart. Under --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination. The images of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 are not easily reproducible to the point where they are rendered incomprehensible. Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made

Art Unit: 3709

- Rejections were made based on machine translations performed by Derwent,
 Google Translator, Babelfish and a standard Langenscheidt German-English English-German dictionary.
- 5. Claims 1-15 and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Hoerstrup DE 19919625 as claimed. Text between " is present claims language, text between [] is examiner's reasoning for applying prior art. Translations are reproduced in italics for clarity.

Hoerstrup anticipates the device as disclosed and claimed and as follows: "Providing a biodegradable support, colonizing the support with homologous fibroblasts and/or myofibroblasts cells to form a connective tissue matrix [abstract translation: (i) colonizing a biodegradable carrier with homologous fibroblasts and/or myofibroblasts soas to give a connective tissue matrix); optionally colonizing the connective tissue matrix with endothelial cells [abstract translation: (ii) colonizing the matrix with endothelial cells]; and fixing of the matrix to a non-degradable or poorly degradable frame construction [the "carrier" as discussed above; furthermore, in the applicant's disclosure he sites that both the "non-degradable or poorly degradable frame construction" and "biodegradable support" can be constructed of PHA. Since both can be made of the same material, the use of the terms "biodegradable" and "poorly degradable" becomes non-limiting. Any part of the device can be considered either the frame or the carrier; the base of the device can be the frame, and the flaps the carrier, since they can be made of the same material.], before and/or after the fixing to the frame construction, the connective tissue matrix is introduced into a pulsatile flow chamber in which it can be

Art Unit: 3709

exposed to increasing flow rates, and the flow rate is increased continuously or discontinuously [abstract translation: iii) introducing the matrix into a pulsating flow chamber (e.g. in a bioreactor) where it is subjected to a (dis)continuous flow rate].

Furthermore, the addition of a "support" structure to Hoerstrup's design is obvious, since it can be made of the same material, and it has been held that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie case for obviousness (Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945)], MPEP 2144.07) See Ionescu 4,441,216, Penny, III 4,816,029, and Rosen 4,345,340 for examples of stented heart valves.

The rearrangement of the steps in claim 2 does not change the process or product produced. It has been held that when the claimed and prior art products are identical in structure or composition, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. See In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2112.01 [R-3].

6. Further, Hoerstrup teaches the device as discussed above where it is firmly connected the biodegradable support is a biodegradable polymer matrix or an acellular biological matrix, a polyglycolic acid (PGA), polylactic acid (PLA), polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA), poly-4-hydroxybutyrate (P4HB) or a mixture of two or more of these polymers [[col. 2 II. 65-68, col. 3 II. 1-10], [" ...abovesaid biodegradable carrier includes PHA,

Art Unit: 3709

PGA, PLA and/or P4HB and combinations thereof the support has a polymer density of 40 to 120 mg/cm3 [col. 3 II. 10-15], the support is a porous polymer having a pore size of 80 to 240 micrometers [col. 3 25-30] the fibers of the support have a diameter of 6 to 20 picometers [col. 3 II. 20-25], the support is the connective tissue framework of an animal or human heart valve [col. 3 II. 33-39], the step of colonization with fibroblasts or myofibroblasts is repeated 3 to 14 times [col. 4 II. 29 - 48] approximately 10⁵ to 6 x 10^8 fibroblasts or myofibroblasts of are employed per square centimeter of support/matrix [col. 4 II. 10-20] the step of colonization with endothelial cells is repeated 3 to 14 times [col. 4 II. 40-48], approximately 10⁵ to 5 x 10⁸ endothelial cells are employed per square centimeter of support/matrix [col. 4 II. 40-48], the cells are human cells, which are autologous [col. 4 II. 49-52], the frame construction is made of a biocompatible material [the carrier is constructed of the same material as the matrix ... i.e. PGA, PLA or PHA as described above], flow rates of 5 ml/min to 8,000 ml/min are established in the pulsatile flow chamber [col. 9 II. 5-8: range of 50-5,000 ml/min anticipates the claimed range], the flow rate is increased over a period of 1 week to 12 weeks [col. 9 ll. 18-39, a 15-day increase falls within and anticipates the claimed range], the initial flow rate is 50 to 100 ml/min, the initial pulse frequency is 5 to 10 pulses/min, the flow rate is increased to 5,000 ml/min, the pulse frequency is increased to 180 pulses/min [col. 9 II. 5-18], systemic pressures of 10 to 240 mm Hg are established in the pulsatile flow chamber [col. 9 II. 40-44].

7. Claims 25-28 are anticipated by Hoestreup, since the heart valve claimed can be produced with the procedure as claimed above. See further col. 10 ll. 5-10 [collagen

Art Unit: 3709

density of 43-55 %] and col. 10 ll. 9-15 [translation: heart valve designed to withstand the flow conditions within the human heart].

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoerstrup in view of Rose 4,627,879. Hoerstrup teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above, but fails to disclose the following claimed limitations taught by Rose: using fibrin adhesive to adhere the support structure to the seeded matrix [col. 1 II. 14-49]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hoerstrup in view of Rose, in order to achieve a biocompatible, biodegradable seal between the matrix and carrier that preserves the qualities of porous grafts and the achieves hemostasis, as taught by Rose [col. 1 II. 14-49].

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See references cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R. Stroud whose telephone number is 571-270-3070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on (571) 272-4828. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3709

Page 7

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jonathan Stroud/

EHUD GARTENBERG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED